

## [TITLE] Describe whether the study aims to create or validate a prediction model involving multiple variables, specify the population under investigation, and define the outcome the model intends to predict.

Authors:					
[Include the i	names and affiliations of all authors.]				
Contact Detai	ils for Corresponding Author:				
[Include the corresponding author's name, email address, and other relevant contact information.]					
ABSTRACT [Maximum Length 300 Words]					
	ABSTRACT:				
	The study's objectives should be summarized.				
	The study design should be outlined.				
	The setting where the study took place should be described.				
ш	The participants involved in the research should be detailed.				
	The sample size used for the study should be specified.				
	The predictors or variables used in the analysis should be identified.				
ш	The intended outcome or result the study aims to predict should be defined.				
П	he statistical methods and analysis techniques employed should be explained.				
	he key findings and results of the study should be presented.				
Т	he conclusions drawn from the research should be summarized.				

	INTRODUCTION:	The authors should describe any relevant therapeutic interventions received by participants throughout the research
	Background	duration.
	The authors should explain the medical context, detailing whether it concerns diagnostics or prognosis.	Outcome
	The authors should clarify the rationale for developing or validating the multivariable prediction model.	The specific outcome predicted by the prediction model should be clearly defined.
	The authors should reference existing models to support the context and rationale provided.	The details on how the outcome is assessed, specifying the methods and instruments used in the assessment should be included.
	Objectives	The time frame during which the outcome is assessed should be
	The authors should specify the study's objectives clearly, indicating the primary focus on either developing the model, validating it, or both processes simultaneously.	indicated, providing clarity on the duration or specific points of assessment.
	METHODS:	The authors should report any actions taken to blind the assessment of the outcome to be predicted.
	Source of Data	The details on measures implemented to ensure a blinded
	The study design or source of data, such as randomized trials, cohorts, or registry data, should be described.	assessment process for the predicted outcome should be provided.
	The separate details for the development dataset should be provided	The authors should explain any steps or strategies adopted to prevent bias in the assessment of the outcome under consideration.
	If applicable, the distinct information for the validation dataset should be furnished.	Predictors
	The authors should specify the key study dates, beginning with the start of accrual.	All predictors utilized in the development or validation of the multivariable prediction model should be clearly defined.
	The authors should include the date marking the end of accrual.	The authors should include specifics on how each predictor was measured, detailing the measurement methods or instruments
	The authors should provide the date indicating the end of follow- up for the study, if applicable.	employed.  The authors should indicate the time frame during which the
	Participants	measurement of each predictor occurred, providing information on when the data was collected for analysis.
	The key elements of the study setting, including whether it pertains to primary care, secondary care, or the general population, should be specified.	The authors should report any actions taken to blind the assessment of predictors for the outcome.
	The information about the number of centers involved in the study should be provided.	The details on measures implemented to ensure a blinded assessment process for the predictors related to the outcome should be provided.
	The details about the locations of these centers in the study description should be included.	The authors should explain any steps or strategies adopted to prevent bias in the assessment of both the outcome and other
	The authors should explain the eligibility criteria established for the participants in the study.	predictors used in the study.
	The authors should detail the specific requirements participants must meet to be eligible for inclusion in the research.	Sample size
		The authors should explain how the study size was arrived at.
	The authors should provide a clear description of the criteria	 Missing Data
	used to determine the eligibility of participants in the study.  Detailed information about the treatments received by	The methods used to handle missing data, such as complete-case analysis, single imputation, or multiple imputation, should be
	participants should be provided, if applicable.	described.
	The specific details regarding the types of treatments administered during the study should be included.	The authors should include specific details about the chosen imputation method, explaining how missing data points were estimated or replaced.

	Statistical Analysis Methods	The authors should summarize the follow-up period, including
	The authors should describe how predictors were handled in the analyses. [Just for Prediction Model Development Studies]	relevant time frames for data collection or observation, if applicable.
	The authors should specify the type of model employed in the study, indicating whether it's regression-based, machine learning, or another specific type. [Just for Prediction Model	The incorporating a diagram to visually illustrate the participant flow and enhance the understanding of the study's progression should be considered.
	Development Studies]  The authors should detail all the procedures involved in building the model, including any steps taken for predictor selection, such as feature engineering or variable screening. [Just for Prediction Model Development Studies]	The authors should provide a detailed description of the participants, outlining their basic demographics, clinical features, and the predictors available for analysis.
		The authors should specify the number of participants with missing data for the predictors, highlighting any gaps or
	The authors should describe the method used for internal validation, outlining the techniques employed to assess the model's performance and generalizability within the dataset. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]	limitations in the dataset.  The authors should document the number of participants with missing data for the outcome variable, emphasizing the completeness of the information available for analysis.
	All measures employed to assess the performance of the model should be specified.	In the validation phase, the distribution of important variables such as demographics, predictors, and the outcome with the
	The authors should describe the methods used to compare multiple models, outlining the specific measures and techniques used for the comparison, if applicable.	corresponding data from the development phase should be compared. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]  The authors should present a detailed analysis demonstrating
	The authors should provide a description of any model updating, such as recalibration, that was undertaken as a result of the validation process, if applicable.	the differences or similarities in the distribution of these key variables between the validation and development datasets. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]
	Risk Groups	The authors should highlight any discrepancies observed in
	If done, the details on how risk groups were created should be provided.	demographics, predictors, and the outcome, emphasizing the significance of these variations in the validation context. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]
	Development vs. Validation	Model Development
	The discrepancies between the validation data and the development data regarding the setting used should be identified in the validation phase. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]	The authors should specify the number of participants and outcome events in each analysis. [Just for Prediction Model Development Studies]
	The authors should examine and document any variations in eligibility criteria between the validation and development datasets. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]	If applicable, the authors should provide a report on the unadjusted association between each candidate predictor and the outcome variable. [Just for Prediction Model Development Studies]
	The differences in the outcome measures used in the validation	Model Specification
	data compared to those in the development dataset should be identified. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]	The authors should present the full prediction model to allow predictions for individuals (i.e., all regression coefficients and
	The authors should analyze and specify any disparities in the predictors utilized in the validation data compared to those used in the development dataset. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]	model intercept or baseline survival at a given time point) [Just for Prediction Model Development Studies]
		The authors should explain how to use the prediction model. [Just for Prediction Model Development Studies]
	RESULTS	Model Performance
	Participants	The authors should present performance measures for the
	The authors should describe how participants moved through the study, highlighting the number of individuals who experienced the outcome and those who did not.	prediction model, including confidence intervals (CIs), in the report.

	Model Up-dating  If model updating has been conducted, the authors should		The authors should provide a comprehensive interpretation of the results, considering the study's objectives and how they align
	ensure the results from the updated model specification are reported comprehensively. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]		with the findings.  The authors should acknowledge and incorporate limitations into the interpretation, addressing potential constraints that might have influenced the results.
	A detailed account of the outcomes related to the updated model's performance should be provided. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]		might have influenced the results.  The study results with findings from similar studies, emphasizing both consistencies and divergences in the outcomes should be compared.
	DISCUSSION	_	•
	Limitations		The authors should integrate other relevant evidence into the interpretation, creating a holistic understanding of the study
	The authors should discuss any limitations related to the study, including factors such as a non-representative sample.		results within the broader context of existing research.
	The authors should address limitations concerning the number		Implications
	of events per predictor, providing context on the challenges posed by limited events in the analysis.		The potential clinical applications of the developed model, examining its practical utility and relevance in healthcare settings, should be discussed.
	The authors should examine limitations associated with missing data, offering insights into how missing data might have impacted the study's findings and conclusions.		The authors should explore the implications of the model's findings for future research, highlighting possible avenues for further exploration and refinement in the field.
	Interpretation		OTHER INFORMATION
	In the validation process, the obtained results and evaluate them concerning the performance seen in the development data should be carefully analyzed. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]		Supplementary Information
			The authors should offer details regarding the availability of supplementary resources, including the study protocol.
	The authors should compare and contrast the validation results with the outcomes observed in the development		The authors should specify the accessibility of a web calculator related to the study, if applicable.
	dataset, providing a comprehensive assessment of the model's consistency and reliability across these stages. [Just for Prediction Model Validation Studies]		The authors should inform about the availability of datasets used in the research, outlining how interested parties can access this data for further analysis or reference.
	The validation results in the context of any other validation		
	datasets used, offering insights into the model's performance across various datasets, should be discussed. [Just for Prediction		Funding
	Model Validation Studies]		The source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study should be given.
	Last Checks		
	The resolution of the images used should be of high quality.		
	Consent should be obtained from the patient for the use of images, and the source should be accurately referenced.		
	The references used should not be older than 7 years.		
	The tables used should be clear and informative.		