Rationale for Long-acting Growth Hormone Therapy and Future Aspects

Semra Çetinkaya[1], Erdal Eren[2], Furkan Erdoğan[3], Feyza Darendeliler[4]

[1]University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Ankara Dr. Sami Ulus Obstetrics and Gynecology, Child Health and Diseases Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Child Health and Diseases, Ankara, Türkiye
[2]Bursa Uludağ University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Child Health and Diseases, Bursa, Türkiye
[3]Pfizer, Türkiye
[4]American Outpatient Medical Centre; formerly İstanbul University, İstanbul Faculty of Medicine, İstanbul, Türkiye

Abstract

Recombinant growth hormone (GH) is administered as daily subcutaneous injections. Daily treatment can be challenging for children/adolescents, as well as for parents and/or caregivers, such as legal representatives or guardians of children in institutional care. Challenges associated with daily treatment may result in missing several doses but non-adherence with treatment leads to inadequate growth response. As an inadequate growth response does not meet criteria for continuing treatment, payers (commercial or public) may decide to end reimbursement. Novel long-acting GH (LAGH) formulations with extended half-life may be administered less frequently and aim to improve patient convenience and consequently to improve adherence and responses to treatment. LAGH formulations can restore growth velocity and body composition as effectively as daily treatment, without unexpected adverse effects, as reported in randomized clinical trials.

Keywords: Recombinant growth hormone, long-acting growth hormone, treatment adherence, review, future aspects

Introduction

History of Growth Hormone Therapy

In 1921, Evans and Long demonstrated the efficacy of growth hormone (GH) from bovine pituitary gland on growth in rats (1). Until the 1930s, GH was investigated for its effects not only on growth but also on glucose metabolism, proteins, minerals, and lipids. In 1944, Li and Evans isolated GH from bovine and human pituitary glands and identified GH as a protein of 191 amino acids (2). During the 1940s and 1950s, GH was purified from various species and tested in animal and human subjects. In 1979, human GH (hGH) could be expressed by recombinant DNA technology (3). In 1985, the United States (US) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved recombinant human GH (rhGH) produced in *E. coli*. Long-term effects of rhGH were monitored in several studies, including the National Collaborative Growth Study and Kabi International Growth Study. Over a period of more than 25 years, data from nearly two hundred thousand patients treated with rhGH, and studies on long-term efficacy and safety have been presented (4,5).

Daily Growth Hormone Therapy

The first study on GH dosing used pituitary hGH administered twice weekly. Later, further increase was shown in growth velocity when the three times weekly pit-hGH regimen switched to once daily injections (6,7). Current recommendation for GH therapy involves daily rhGH injections. Daily dose of GH may vary from 25 to

Çetinkaya S et al.
Long-acting Growth Hormone Therapy in Childhood

J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol
2025;17(1):1-8

43 μg/kg/body weight while the dose can be increased in puberty or syndromic disorders with short stature (8).

Adherence to Growth Hormone Therapy

Adherence to GH therapy is critical to treatment success. Poor adherence is the leading cause of inadequate growth velocity in patients receiving GH therapy (9). In 2022, 12-month treatment adherence rates were reported to vary from 73.3 to 95.3% with a mean adherence rate of 79.3% in a systematic review of 11 eligible studies (10). In studies conducted in Türkiye, the adherence rate was 92% in a series of 689 cases; a multicenter study in 216 patients assessed 1-year adherence rate and reported that poor adherence correlated with lower height velocity and lower insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) response (11,12). Poor adherence rates increase over timeand correlate with duration of GH therapy (13). The national survey of GH in New Zealand concluded that linear growth could decrease significantly in patients missing more than one dose in a week (14).

Paradigms Improving Adherence with Growth Hormone Therapy

Treatment adherence can be affected by a variety of factors including needle phobia (fear, reasons associated with injections), forgetfulness, treatment duration, low socioeconomic status, type of injection device used, unmet treatment expectations, and poor understanding of consequences of missed doses (15). Treatment adherence was assessed during the first 2-years in a study conducted in 110 patients and negative correlations were found between treatment adherence and age, pretreatment growth velocity and treatment duration while treatment adherence was positively correlated with parents' educational attainment (9). Treatment adherence is further affected by access to medicines, patients', and parents' motivation, and having received necessary training. Other significant factors include individual differences in response to GH therapy, diagnostic differences, age at diagnosis, current age, and dose of medication (16).

Another factor reducing treatment adherence was defined as injection refusal among adolescents and the importance of family support was underlined (17). Regional differences may affect adherence with treatment. Medication costs, inability to access medicine, concerns about long-term complications, treatment fatigue due to long-term injections, dissatisfaction with treatment outcomes, and painful injections were highlighted as reasons for non-adherence with treatment among 169 patients included in a study conducted in Iran (18).

The most remarkable reason for treatment discontinuation is treatment fatigue and dosing interval lengthening is followed by discontinuation over time. Treatment duration negatively correlates with adherence as daily injections may become more challenging either for GH-deficient patients or for their parents, over time. Due to the challenges associated with daily treatments, once weekly, long-acting GH (LAGH) therapy is expected to improve adherence with treatment and convenience for patients.

Long-Acting Growth Hormone Formulations in Use

LAGH analogues approved in Asia include valtropin/declage (Eutropin Plus®- South Korea) and PEG-rhGH (Jintrolong®-China). The LAGH analogue Eutropin Plus® was previously approved but not marketed in Europe, whereas the LAGH analogue somapacitan-beco (Sogroya®) was approved in US and European Union (EU), Canada, Japan, the LAGH analogue lonapegsomatropin-tcgd, Skytrofa® was approved in US and EU, and LAGH analogue Somatrogon (Ngenla®) was approved in EU, Australia, Canada, Japan, United Kingdom, Brazil, India, and US, and most recently in Türkiye and Saudi Arabia. Other LAGH analogues are in various stages of clinical development. This article is focused on somatrogon (Ngenla®), lonapegsomatropin-tcgd (Skytrofa®), and somapacitan-beco (Sogroya®) considering that these LAGH formulations have been approved by the US FDA and European Medicines Agency (EMA) for use in children and adolescents (Table 1) (19).

Pharmacological Characteristics of Long-acting Growth Hormone Formulations

hGH is a protein containing 191 amino acids with a molecular weight of 22 kDa and an isoelectric pH of 5.8. Currently available rhGH formulations have similar molecular weight and characteristics to hGH although not identical. rhGH has a half-life of 3 to 4 hours following subcutaneous injection and 0.36 hours following intravenous injection with an effect duration of less than 24 hours. Blood GH levels vary depending on age, sex, physiological state, and environmental conditions. GH secretion surges and several peaks occur throughout the day and shows an episodic and pulsatile pattern with increasing frequency during sleep (particularly in the second half of the night) in humans. Therefore, treatment with once daily rhGH injections does not mimic the normal biological pattern of hGHrelease and provide a unimodal blood level pattern. However, rhGH may provide an adequate growth response in children and adolescents with GH deficiency (20,21). As with once-daily rhGH formulations, the pharmacodynamics of LAGH formulations may not be identical with hGH secretion, but treatment responses are not inferior to those induced by once-daily formulations (19).

J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol
2025;17(1):1-8

Çetinkaya S et al.
Long-acting Growth Hormone Therapy in Childhood

Table 1. In use long-acting growth hormone formulations by Food and Drug Administration and European Medicines Agency of approval

	Somatrogon	Lonapegsomatropin	Somapacitan
Approval	US, EU, Canada, Japan, Australia, Brazil, Taiwan, UAE, India, KSA, Türkiye	US, EU	US, EU, KSA, Canada, Japan

US: United States, EU: European Union, KSA: Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, UAE: United Arab Emirates

Several techniques, including depot formulations, PEGylated formulations, pro-drug formulations, non-covalent albumin binding GH formulations, and GH fusion proteins have been used in the development process of LAGH analogues to extend the half-life of the formulation. Approved LAGH formulations are presented in Table 2 (19).

Long-acting therapies have been previously developed for several medical conditions including hemophilia and type 2 diabetes and such therapies have proved to be safe and are associated with higher treatment adherence, greater patient satisfaction and improved quality of life (10,22,23).

The prodrug formulation ACP-001 (Skytrofa®, lonapegsomatropin-tcgd) is an unmodified rhGH transiently conjugated with a methoxy-PEG containing carrier molecule which is hydrolysable depending on pH and temperature. ACP-001, was approved by the US FDA and EMA in 2021 for use in pediatric patients (aged > 1 year with a body weight of > 11.5 kg) (24).

The non-covalent albumin binding GH formulation NNC0195-0092 (Sogroya®, Somapacitan-beco) was approved by the FDA in August 2020 for use in adults with GH deficiency. Non-covalent binding of albumin to GH with single point mutation, by a terminal fatty acid linker resulted in a reduced clearance rate and a longer half-life. The phase 3 pediatric study REAL-4 started in 2019 (25,26).

Somatrogon is a chimeric product consisting of the fusion of rhGH with three copies of carboxyl-terminal peptide of human chorionic gonadotropin β-subunit (molecular weight: 47.5 kDa). In historical process, as shown in Table 3, the development of LAGH formulations is a long process that will require accumulated experience and allocation of a large budget. Any approved GH formulation is obviously a product of a challenging process and experience. Nonetheless, further efforts are still needed (27,28).

Long-acting Growth Hormones

In theory, clinical indications for the use of LAGH formulations include needle phobia in children, non-adherence in adolescents, pediatric patients without a consistent caregiver/guardian, children in institutional care, treatment fatigue in patients on long-term therapy when compared with once-daily GH formulations. In addition, the ability to administer LAGH at any time in a given day may be considered as an advantage of once weekly-formulations over once-daily formulations. LAGH preparations may improve patient adherence, quality of life and clinical outcomes (29).

LAGH formulations approved by the US FDA and EMA for use in children and adolescents include somatrogon (Ngenla®), lonapegsomatropin-tcgd (Skytrofa®) and somapacitan-beco (Sogroya®). In standard 52-week phase 3 clinical trials, once weekly lonapegsomatropin, somatrogon and somapacitan have been found to yield non-inferior height velocities. These three LAGH formulations have similar safety profiles to daily GH in children with pediatric GH deficiency (29).

Somatrogon (Ngenla®)

Somatrogon is the first LAGH formulation approved in Türkiye. Somatrogon significantly reduces treatment burden compared to daily GH (Genotropin®) therapy and its effectiveness is non-inferior (30). Somatrogon is produced by recombinant DNA technology and administered subcutaneously. Since somatrogon is a fusion protein, its half-life is long, its renal clearance is low, and its diffusion into the growth plate is good (31). Somatrogon is indicated for the treatment of children from three years of age with GH deficiency. In a randomized controlled phase 2 study in which somatrogon (at doses of 0.25, 0.48, 0.66 mg/kg/week) or daily GH (Genotropin® at a dose of 0.034 mg/kg/day), was administered to 53 prepubertal GH deficient children, the growth responses of somatrogon at doses of 0.25, 0.48, 0.66

Table 2. Characteristics of LAGH formulations by Food and Drug Administration and European Medicines Agency of approval

	Somatrogon	Lonapegsomatropin	Somapacitan
Brand name	Ngenla®	Skytrofa®	Sogroya®
Mechanism	Fusion protein	Prodrug	Increased albumin-binding

LAGH: long-acting growth hormone

Çetinkaya S et al.
Long-acting Growth Hormone Therapy in Childhood

J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol
2025;17(1):1-8

Table 3. Long-acting growth hormone formulations (in development, approved/not approved)

Product	Mechanism	Frequency of administration	Current status
ALTU-238	Depot	7 days	No longer being developed
Nutropin depot	Depot	14 days	Removed from market
Eutropin plus	Depot	7 days	Approved in South Korea, EMA
ARX201	PEGylation	7 days	No longer being developed
BBT-031	PEGylation	7 days	Developing stopped at preclinical studies
PHA-794428	PEGylation	7 days	No longer being developed
NNC126-0083	PEGylation	7 days	No longer being developed
Jintrolong	PEGylation	7 days	Approve in China
Lonapegsomatropin	Prodrug	7 days	Approved in USA, EU
Somatrogon	Fusion protein	7 days	Approved in USA, EU, Canada, Australia, Türkiye, Japan, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
AG-B1512	Fusion protein	14 or 28 days	Pre-clinical studies
ALT-P1	Fusion protein	Unknown	Developing stopped at phase 2
Profuse	Fusion protein	1 month	Developing stopped at preclinical studies
GX-H9	Fusion protein	7-14 days	Phase 3 studies
HM10560A	Fusion protein	7-14 days	Phase 3 studies
JR-142	Fusion protein	7 days	Phase 2 studies
Albutropin	Fusion protein	7 days	No longer being developed
Somavaratan	Fusion protein	7, 14 or 28 days	No longer being developed
Somapacitan	Increased albumin binding	7 days	Approved in USA, EU, KSA, Canada, Japan

US: United States, EU: European Union, EMA: European Medicines Agency, KSA: Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

mg/kg/week were 7.73 ± 1.89, 7.54 ± 1.28 and 8.81 ± 1.12 cm/year respectively (32). In the phase 3 study in which somatrogon (0.66 mg/kg/week) and somatropin (0.24 mg/kg/week, Genotropin®) were administered to 228 children with GH deficiency, the annual change in height standard deviation score (SDS) was similar (33). These studies have shown that long-acting somatrogon is well tolerated and causes mild to moderate side effects similar to daily GH, such as myositis, injection side pain, water retention including edema, arthralgia, carpal tunnel syndrome, and benign intracranial hypertension. These studies suggested that mean/average IGF-1 levels should be taken at four days post somatrogon administration. The authors reported that this sampling time for IGF-1 level was a more useful and representative time for overall systemic exposure to IGF-1 levels. Somatrogon has been found to have similar safety and tolerability to daily GH. The currently recommended/approved dosage of somatrogon in our Türkiye is 0.66 mg/kg body weight administered once weekly by subcutaneous injection (33).

When switching from daily GH therapy, somatrogon may be administered subcutaneously at a weekly dose of 0.66 mg/kg body weight on the day following the last daily injection. In the phase 3 study in which somatrogon (0.66 mg/kg/week) was given to children with pituitary GH deficiency, the average IGF-1 SDS value was 0.66, while this value for daily GH was -0.69 (29). Serum IGF-1 concentrations should be monitored regularly and blood samples should be collected four days after the prior dose. It is recommended to maintain IGF-1 concentrations within upper normal range without exceeding +2 SDS. If serum IGF-1 concentrations exceed the mean reference value by >2 SDS, the dose of somatrogon should be reduced by 15%. Higher dose reductions may be required in some patients. Height velocity should be monitored particularly during the first year of treatment and treatment adherence should be supervised. Zadik et al. (32) found treatment compliance to be >90% in the patient group followed for five years with somatrogon treatment.

When needed, the day of weekly injection can be changed if time from the last injection is more than 72 hours. If a dose is missed, the missed dose can be administered as soon as possible, if the delay is less than three days. If the delay is >3 days, the missed dose should be skipped and the next dose should be administered on the scheduled day. Underdose and overdose should be managed based on the experience with daily GH therapy. At recommended doses, significant changes have not been reported in insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism during treatment with somatrogon. Other effects on glucose metabolism are similar to those of daily GH therapy (32).

J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol
2025;17(1):1-8

Çetinkaya S et al.
Long-acting Growth Hormone Therapy in Childhood

Somatrogon is not recommended in pediatric patients with multiple pituitary hormone deficiency below three years of age due to the challenges associated with the management of the risk for hypoglycemia. There is a scarcity of research into this specific issue (32).

A survey of 24 pediatric endocrinologists from 12 countries with experience in GH therapy was undertaken on topics such as GH adherence monitoring, device use, injection regimen, and disclosure of missed injections to address concerns of the patient's family or caregiver. In general, 75% of pediatric endocrinologists preferred weekly somatrogon, 79.2% found it more useful, 83.3% stated that they would prefer to prescribe somatrogon in the future, and 50% stated that they thought it was beneficial for patients. It was also observed that somatrogon provided 62.5% satisfaction among physicians in reducing the frequency of injections and reducing the burden on family and caregivers (34). In a survey conducted on the families and caregivers of 87 GH-deficient pediatric patients, somatrogon was reported to be the more preferred treatment method with a lower treatment burden than daily GH therapy (35). Anti-drug antibodies developed against the drug have not been shown to have any effect on growth when using somatrogon (29). In a meta-analysis, it was predicted that somatrogon provided higher near-final height compared to daily GH in pediatric GH deficiency cases, improved the quality of life, and reduced the cost per cm (36).

Zelinska et al. (37) reported that there was no significant change in glucose and HbA1c levels in patients using somatrogon.

Somapacitan-beco (Sogroya®)

Somapacitan is a LAGH with an extended half-life because of reversible non-covalent binding to albumin. Somapacitan was approved for the treatment of patients aged 2.5 years and older. Somapacitan is the second LAGH approved in Türkiye. Somapacitan is produced by recombinant DNA technology and is administered by subcutaneous injections. While somapacitan provided annual growth of 7.5, 9.7, and 11.7 cm/year at doses of 0.04, 0.08, and 0.16 mg/kg/week, respectively, daily GH (Norditropin®) provided 9.9 cm/year growth (38). In Türkiye, the suggested dose for GH deficient pediatric patient is 0.16 mg/kg/week. In the phase 3 REAL-4 study, in 200 GH deficient children aged 2.5-11 years, somapacitan (0.16 mg/kg/week) provided growth non inferior to daily GH (0.034 mg/kg/day) (11.2 cm/year vs. 11.7 cm/year, respectively). Side effects, such as nasopharyngitis, fever, headache, and injection site pain were seen in 5% of the cases (26). In the study where somapacitan and daily GH (Norditropin®) treatment was given for three years,

the growth velocity SDS change was 2.9, 2.3, and 2.4 for somapacitan and 2.1 for daily GH by year (39). In phase 1, phase 2 (REAL-3), and phase 3 (REAL-4) studies, 1473 pharmacokinetic samples (210 treated with somapacitan) were taken from 210 GH deficient children and IGF-1 SDS values were determined. While the IGF-1 SDS value did not exceed +3 in those receiving somapacitan, it ranged between -2 and +2 in those receiving daily GH (40). In a study, it was also reported that while the adverse effect rate was 71.1% in those receiving somapacitan, it was 71.4% in those receiving daily GH (39). In a 3-year study comparing somapacitan with daily GH (Norditropin®) treatment, no significant changes in glucose and HBA1c were detected (40). In a study with a small sample size, patients using somapacitan or daily GH were compared in terms of their quality of life and no significant difference was found between them (41). The approved dose for initiating treatment with Somapacitan or switching from daily GH therapy is 0.16 mg/kg once weekly (39).

Lonapegsomatropin-tcgd (Skytrofa®)

Lonapegsomatropin was the first FDA-approved LAGH formulation. Lonapegsomatropin is a preservative-free, reversible PEGylated rhGH preparation. Therefore, the treatment cost of lonapegsomatropin was calculated to be 20-40% higher than the preservative-free Genotropin® treatment (42). FDA approval was given for use in patients aged one year and older or with a weight more than 11.5 kg. In the study comparing lonapegsomatropin (0.24 mg/kg/week) and daily GH (0.24 mg/kg/week, Genotropin®), the annual growth rate was found to be 11.2 and 10.3 cm, respectively (43).

In the 104-week heiGHt, fliGHt and continued enliGHten study comparing lonapegsomatropin and daily GH, it was shown that the height SDS value improved from -2.89 to -1.37 and from -3 to -1.5, respectively. In this study, no adverse effects were reported except fever and local reaction. In this study, mean IGF-I value five days after lonapegsomatropin injection was +1.46 SDS (44).

The recommended dose for starting and dose for switching from daily GH is 0.24 mg/kg body weight administered subcutaneously once weekly. In addition to adverse effects associated with other formulations, lonapegsomatropin-tcgd also included a higher risk for pancreatitis. Follow-up recommendations for lonapegsomatropin-tcgd include routine monitoring of serum phosphate, alkaline phosphatase and parathormone levels in addition to other recommendation for LAGH formulations. Missed dose should be administered as soon as possible and within less than 2 days. Dosing intervals should be at least five

Çetinkaya S et al.
Long-acting Growth Hormone Therapy in Childhood

J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol
2025;17(1):1-8

days. Neutralizing anti-drug antibodies were not detected against this active substance during the treatment period of 72 weeks. Of note, these recommendations were presented in the prospectus but have not been reported (38).

Treatment Adherence and Other Expectations with LAGH Formulations

Efficacy and safety, treatment adherence, child's and parents' quality of life, and cost-effectiveness analyses were conducted in a recently published meta-analysis on LAGH analogues vs. daily rhGH therapy. Based on these analyses, treatment adherence varied between 87.2% and 99.7% with daily rhGH therapy and between 99.2% and 99.4% with LAGH analogues.

Although the efficacy and safety of LAGH analogues were comparable to those of daily rhGH formulations, well-designed, medium to long-term studies on quality of life of the child and parents and cost-effectiveness studies are still needed (38).

In a recent online article about somatrogon, non-adherence rates were reported to be as low as 4% for the first year of treatment (adherence rates reported for daily GH formulations in the literature varybetween 65% and 95.3%). A scenario analysis emphasized the improved quality of life and lower costs for cm gained with somatrogon (28). Analyses oflong-term treatment responses, adverse effects, treatment costs, effects on lipid and glucose metabolism, follow-up parameters and safety and efficacy are becoming increasingly important as LAGH formulations are reimbursed, currently.

Theoretical Concerns About LAGH Formulations

The issues of theoretical concern are the effect of LAGH analogues on fat and glucose metabolism, their effectiveness in correcting hypoglycemia in infants with hypoglycemia associated with severe GH deficiency, and their different therapeutic efficacy profiles in different tissues, especially due to the large size of the fusion proteins. When IGF-1 levels above the physiological value are obtained for a very long time; risk statuses for iatrogenic acromegaly, neoplasia and glucose intolerance are unclear. Elevated and high-normal serum IGF-1 levels in early epidemiological studies raised concerns about the potential of an increased risk of malignancies. A safe serum IGF-1 cut-off level is another area requiring further investigation (45).

Future Goals for LAGH Therapy

Theoretical concerns associated with the use of LAGH analogues suggestthe importance of establishing thesafety of various LAGH formulations. Dosages in treatment-naïve patients, dosages in patients switching from daily recombinant therapy to LAGH therapy, potential differences in starting doses, dose adjustments and methodology to be used in dose adjustments, timing of serum IGF-1 measurements, safety, sustainable efficacy, cost-effectiveness, and effects on the quality of life and treatment adherence should be assessed further. There are registries, such as PROGRES and GloBE-Reg. National registries will alsobe useful to collect and analyze data from these patients on a yearly basis and the results should be communicated (46,47).

Reliability, Follow-up Parameters and Unknown Factors in LAGH Therapy

It is important to establish a Future Research Agenda for LAGH therapy to compare weekly and daily GH therapy in long-term treatment responses, to conduct analyses on adverse effects, treatment costs, effects on lipid and glucose metabolism, follow up parameters and safety and efficacy, effects on quality of life and treatment adherence and to update follow-up plans based on data collected from these analyses.

Studies have shown that day four is recommended for optimum IGF-1 evaluation but longitudinal studies are needed to determine IGF-Ilevels after dosing, how to make dose reductions in case of an adverse effect, and risk for developing acromegalia, neoplasia or glucose intolerance. The dose, efficacy and reliability of treatment with LAGH therapies in Turner syndrome, born small for gestational age, Prader-Willi syndrome, Silver-Russell syndrome, intracranial malignancies or other cancersurvivors, the use in severe GH deficiency presenting with neonatal hypoglycemia and the use in patients younger than three years remains to be determined. Further areas requiring additional research include dosing in obese patients, the level of growth response in each individual organ and tissue, neutralizing antibody production and effect for each individual formulation. Several other parameters, including long-term (decades) adherence, treatment costs and growth response also require much more data.

Conclusion

New LAGH with long half-lives provide significant advantages for children and adolescents with treatment incompliance, those receiving multiple treatments, those with additional problems, those with injection fear, those studying in boarding schools, those not raised by their parents, those with low family health literacy, and those >3 years of age diagnosed with GH deficiency. There are uncertainties regarding LAGH therapy in cases diagnosed other than GH deficiency (such as panhypopituitarism, Turner syndrome),

J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol
2025;17(1):1-8

Çetinkaya S et al.
Long-acting Growth Hormone Therapy in Childhood

those < 3 years of age, those requiring GH therapy after intracranial tumor treatment, and those with elevated IGF1 under treatment. Registry studies with long-term follow-up data are needed.

Footnotes

Authorship Contributions

Concept: Semra Çetinkaya, Erdal Eren, Furkan Erdoğan, Feyza Darendeliler, Design: Semra Çetinkaya, Erdal Eren, Furkan Erdoğan, Literature Search: Semra Çetinkaya, Erdal Eren, Furkan Erdoğan, Feyza Darendeliler, Writing: Semra Çetinkaya, Erdal Eren, Furkan Erdoğan.

Conflict of Interest: One author of this article, Feyza Darendeliler, is a member of the Editorial Board of the Journal of Clinical Research in Pediatric Endocrinology. However, she did not involved in any stage of the editorial decision of the manuscript. The editors who evaluated this manuscript are from different institutions. Dr. Furkan Erdoğan from Pfizer Türkiye is a coauthor in this paper. He has been involvedin the concept and especially the literature review including presentations from recent congresses; there are no conflict of interest other than this relationship with Pfizer and the authors. The other author declared no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

1. Hilczer M, Walczak M. Human growth hormone therapy - in three stages: past, present, and future. Pediatr Endocrinol Diabetes Metab. 2024;30:49-50.

2. Beck JC, McGarry EE, Dyrenfurth I, Venning EH. The metabolic effects of human and monkey growth hormone in man. Ann Intern Med. 1958;49:1090-1105.

3. Goeddel DV, Heyneker HL, Hozumi T, Arentzen R, Itakura K, Yansura DG, Ross MJ, Miozzari G, Crea R, Seeburg PH. Direct expression in Escherichia coli of a DNA sequence coding for human growth hormone. Nature. 1979;281:544-548.

4. Eslami P, Sayarifard F, Safdari R, Shahmoradi L, Karbasi Z. Global perspective on pediatric growth hormone registries: a systematic review. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2022;35:709-726.

5. Ranke MB, Dowie J. KIGS and KIMS as tools for evidence-based medicine. Horm Res. 1999;51(Suppl 1):83-86.

6. Kastrup KW, Christiansen JS, Andersen JK, Orskov H. Increased growth rate following transfer to daily sc administration from three weekly im injections of hGH in growth hormone deficient children. Acta Endocrinol (Copenh). 1983;104:148-152.

7. Albertsson-Wikland K, Westphal O, Westgren U. Daily subcutaneous administration of human growth hormone in growth hormone deficient children. Acta Paediatr Scand. 1986;75:89-97.

8. Ranke MB, Wit JM. Growth hormone - past, present, and future. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2018;14:285-300. Epub 2018 Mar 16

9. de Arriba Muñoz A, Muñiz VC, Saez JJA, Beisti A, Llovet E, Aizpún JIL. Impact of adherence on growth response during the first 2 years of growth hormone treatment. Endocrine. 2021;72:513-523. Epub 2020 Dec 7

10. Gomez R, Ahmed SF, Maghnie M, Li D, Tanaka T, Miller BS. Treatment adherence to injectable treatments in pediatric growth hormone deficiency compared with injectable treatments in other chronic pediatric conditions: a systematic literature review. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022;13:795224.

11. Aycan Z, Araslı Yılmaz A, Yel S, Savaş-Erdeve Ş, Çetinkaya S. Evaluation of growth hormone results in different diagnosis and trend over 10 year of follow-up: a single center experience. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol. 2021;13:332-341.

12. Aydın BK, Aycan Z, Sıklar Z, Berberoğlu M, Ocal G, Cetinkaya S, Baş VN, Kendirci HN, Cetinkaya E, Darcan S, Gökşen D, Evliyaoğlu O, Sükür M, Baş F, Darendeliler F. Adherence to growth hormone therapy: results of a multicenter study. Endocr Pract. 2014;20:46-51.

13. Ben-Ari T, Chodick G, Shalev V, Goldstein D, Gomez R, Landau Z. Real-world treatment patterns and outcomes of growth hormone treatment among children in Israel over the past decade (2004-2015). Front Pediatr. 2021;9:711979.

14. Cutfield WS, Derraik JG, Gunn AJ, Reid K, Delany T, Robinson E, Hofman PL. Non-compliance with growth hormone treatment in children is common and impairs linear growth. PLoS One. 2011;6:e16223.

15. Fisher BG, Acerini CL. Understanding the growth hormone therapy adherence paradigm: a systematic review. Horm Res Paediatr. 2013;79:189-196.

16. Wit JM, Deeb A, Bin-Abbas B, Al Mutair A, Koledova E, Savage MO. Achieving optimal short- and long-term responses to paediatric growth hormone therapy. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol. 2019;11:329-340. Epub 2019 Jul 9

17. Rosenfeld RG, Bakker B. Compliance and persistence in pediatric and adult patients receiving growth hormone therapy. Endocr Pract. 2008;14:143-154.

18. Mohseni S, Heydari Z, Qorbani M, Radfar M. Adherence to growth hormone therapy in children and its potential barriers. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2018;31:13-20.

19. Yuen KCJ, Miller BS, Boguszewski CL, Hoffman AR. Usefulness and potential pitfalls of long-acting growth hormone analogs. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021;12:637209.

20. Baltaci AK, Mogulkoc R, Baltaci SB. Review: the role of zinc in the endocrine system. Pak J Pharm Sci. 2019;32:231-239.

21. Vanderkuur JA, Butch ER, Waters SB, Pessin JE, Guan KL, Carter-Su C. Signaling molecules involved in coupling growth hormone receptor to mitogen-activated protein kinase activation. Endocrinology. 1997;138:4301-4307.

22. Jose B, Tahrani AA, Piya MK, Barnett AH. Exenatide once weekly: clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2010;4:313-324.

23. Johnston K, Stoffman JM, Mickle AT, Klaassen RJ, Diles D, Olatunde S, Eliasson L, Bahar R. Preferences and health-related quality-of-life related to disease and treatment features for patients with hemophilia a in a Canadian general population sample. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2021;15:1407-1417.

24. Chatelain P, Malievskiy O, Radziuk K, Senatorova G, Abdou MO, Vlachopapadopoulou E, Skorodok Y, Peterkova V, Leff JA, Beckert M; TransCon GH Working Group. A randomized phase 2 study of long-acting transcon GH vs daily GH in childhood GH deficiency. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102:1673-1682.

25. Thygesen P, Andersen HS, Behrens C, Fels JJ, Nørskov-Lauritsen L, Rischel C, Johansen NL. Nonclinical pharmacokinetic and

Çetinkaya S et al.
Long-acting Growth Hormone Therapy in Childhood

J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol
2025;17(1):1-8

pharmacodynamic characterisation of somapacitan: a reversible non-covalent albumin-binding growth hormone. Growth Horm IGF Res. 2017;35:8-16. Epub 2017 May 24

26. Miller BS, Blair JC, Rasmussen MH, Maniatis A, Kildemoes RJ, Mori J, Polak M, Bang RB, Böttcher V, Stagi S, Horikawa R. Weekly somapacitan is effective and well tolerated in children with gh deficiency: the randomized phase 3 REAL4 trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022;107:3378-3388.

27. Rivolo S, Loftus J, Peter B, Fahey M, Kongnakorn T. Cost-effectiveness and cost-utility analysis of somatrogon once-weekly injections vs. daily growth hormone injection for treating paediatric growth hormone deficiency in Ireland. J Med Econ. 2023;26:963-972.

28. Johannsson G. Long-acting growth hormone for replacement therapy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96:1668-1670.

29. Miller BS. What do we do now that the long-acting growth hormone is here? Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022;13:980979.

30. Lamb YN. Somatrogon: First Approval. Drugs. 2022;82:227-234.

31. Steiner M, Frank J, Saenger P. Long-acting growth hormone in 2022. Pediatr Investig. 2023;7:36-42.

32. Zadik Z, Zelinska N, Iotova V, Skorodok Y, Malievsky O, Mauras N, Valluri SR, Pastrak A, Rosenfeld R. An open-label extension of a phase 2 dose-finding study of once-weekly somatrogon vs. once-daily genotropin in children with short stature due to growth hormone deficiency: results following 5 years of treatment. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2023;36:261-269.

33. Deal CL, Steelman J, Vlachopapadopoulou E, Stawerska R, Silverman LA, Phillip M, Kim HS, Ko C, Malievskiy O, Cara JF, Roland CL, Taylor CT, Valluri SR, Wajnrajch MP, Pastrak A, Miller BS. Efficacy and safety of weekly somatrogon vs daily somatropin in children with growth hormone deficiency: a phase 3 study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022;107:2717-2728.

34. Gomez R, Lamoureux R, Turner-Bowker DM, Loftus J, Maghnie M, Miller BS, Polak M, Yaworsky A. Physician experience with once-weekly somatrogon versus once-daily rhGH regimen in pediatric patients with growth hormone deficiency: a cross-sectional survey of physicians from the global phase 3 study. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2023;14:1254424.

35. Maniatis AK, Carakushansky M, Galcheva S, Prakasam G, Fox LA, Dankovcikova A, Loftus J, Palladino AA, de Los Angeles Resa M, Turich Taylor C, Dattani MT, Lebl J. Treatment burden of weekly somatrogon vs daily somatropin in children with growth hormone deficiency: a randomized study. J Endocr Soc. 2022;6:bvac117.

36. Rivolo S, Loftus J, Peter B, Fahey M, Kongnakorn T. Cost-effectiveness and cost-utility analysis of somatrogon once-weekly injections vs. daily growth hormone injection for treating paediatric growth hormone deficiency in Ireland. J Med Econ. 2023;26:963-972.

37. Zelinska N, Iotova V, Skorodok J, Malievsky O, Peterkova V, Samsonova L, Rosenfeld RG, Zadik Z, Jaron-Mendelson M, Koren R, Amitzi L, Raduk D, Hershkovitz O, Hart G. Long-acting C-terminal peptide-modified hGH (MOD-4023): results of a safety and dose-finding study in GHD children. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102:1578-1587.

38. Mameli C, Orso M, Calcaterra V, Wasniewska MG, Aversa T, Granato S, Bruschini P, Guadagni L, d'Angela D, Spandonaro F, Polistena B, Zuccotti G. Efficacy, safety, quality of life, adherence and cost-effectiveness of long-acting growth hormone replacement therapy compared to daily growth hormone in children with growth hormone deficiency: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pharmacol Res. 2023;193:106805. Epub 2023 May 24

39. Sävendahl L, Battelino T, Højby Rasmussen M, Brod M, Saenger P, Horikawa R. Effective GH replacement with once-weekly somapacitan vs daily GH in children with GHD: 3-year results from REAL 3. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022;107:1357-1367.

40. Kildemoes RJ, Backeljauw PF, Højby M, Blair JC, Miller BS, Mori J, Lyauk YK. Model-based analysis of IGF-I response, dosing, and monitoring for once-weekly somapacitan in children with GH deficiency. J Endocr Soc. 2023;7:bvad115.

41. Brod M, Lee KW, Rasmussen MH. First report of disease-specific patient-reported outcomes from a randomized phase 2 trial of once-weekly somapacitan versus daily GH in children with GHD. Horm Res Paediatr. 2020;93:71-72.

42. Dos Santos JBR, Da Silva MRR. TransCon human growth hormone for children with growth hormone deficiency: a technology evaluation. Expert Opin Drug Deliv. 2022;19:1-7. Epub 2022 Jan 24

43. Lamb YN. Lonapegsomatropin: pediatric first approval. Paediatr Drugs. 2022;24:83-90.

44. Maniatis AK, Casella SJ, Nadgir UM, Hofman PL, Saenger P, Chertock ED, Aghajanova EM, Korpal-Szczyrska M, Vlachopapadopoulou E, Malievskiy O, Chaychenko T, Cappa M, Song W, Mao M, Mygind PH, Smith AR, Chessler SD, Komirenko AS, Beckert M, Shu AD, Thornton PS. Safety and efficacy of lonapegsomatropin in children with growth hormone deficiency: enliGHten trial 2-year results. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022;107:2680-2689.

45. Polak M. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor signalling in neoplasia. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008;8:915-928.

46. Dattani M, Maghnie M, Phillip M, Dahlgren J, Horikawa R, Gomez R, Kelly SP, Wajnrajch MP. PMON336 Pfizer Registry of Outcomes in Growth hormone RESearch (PROGRES): a multi-country, non-interventional, prospective, cohort study of patients receiving human growth hormone treatments under routine clinical care. J Endocr Soc. 2022;6(Suppl 1):A630.

47. Chen SC, Bryce J, Chen M, Charmandari E, Choi JH, Dou X, Gong C, Hamza R, Harvey J, Hoffman AR, Horikawa R, Johannson G, Jorge AAL, Miller BS, Roehrich S, Sävendahl L, Tseretopoulou X, Vitali D, Wajnrajch M, Ahmed SF. Development of a minimum dataset for the monitoring of recombinant human growth hormone therapy in children with growth hormone deficiency: a GloBE-Reg initiative. Horm Res Paediatr. 2024;97:365-373. Last Accessed Date: 14.02.2025. Available from: https://globe-reg.net/