The Effect of Dietary Acid Load on Cardiometabolic Risk, Psychological Resilience and Sleep Quality in Adolescents with Obesity

Bozbulut R et al. Dietary Acid Load and Cardiometabolic Risk, Psychological Resilience and Sleep Quality

Rukiye Bozbulut, Esra Döğer, Mahmut Orhun Çamurdan, Aysun Bideci
Gazi University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatric Endocrinology, Ankara, Turkey

What is already known on this topic?
Diet composition affects acid-base balance by providing acid or base precursors. High dietary acid load may have detrimental effects on cardiovascular health, mental health and sleep quality.

What this study adds?
High dietary acid load is associated with high cardiometabolic risk, insulin resistance, and low psychological resilience and poor sleep quality in adolescent with obesity.

Abstract
Objective: Mild metabolic acidosis may adversely affect cardiovascular risk factors, and diet-dependent acid-base load may impair mental health and sleep quality. The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of dietary acid load on cardiometabolic risk factors, psychological resilience, and sleep quality in adolescents with obesity.
Methods: 205 adolescents with obesity (105 males, 100 females) aged 13-18 years participated in the study. Participants' biochemical parameters, anthropometric measurements and blood pressures were measured. Three-day retrospective food intake records were collected from the adolescents, and potential renal acid load (PRAL), net endogenous acid production (NEAP), and dietary acid load (DAL) were derived from food intake records. Psychological resilience levels of adolescents were assessed by the Child and Youth Resilience Measure (CYRM-12) and sleep quality was assessed by the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI).
Results: It was found that BMI, fat mass, fat percentage, fasting insulin, triglyceride, systolic blood pressure, HOMA-IR and PSQI scores were significantly higher and psychological resilience levels were significantly lower in high tertiles of dietary acid load ($p<0.05$). Adolescents in the lowest tertile of dietary acid load scores had higher consumption of whole grains, vegetables, dairies, legumes, and higher intakes of potassium and calcium than adolescents in the highest tertile of the dietary acid load scores ($p<0.05$). Red meat, white meat consumption and sodium intake were higher in adolescents in the high tertiles ($p<0.05$). Energy intakes were found to be significantly lower in the first tertile of PRAL and DAL scores compared to the other tertiles ($p<0.05$). According to the linear regression model, an increase in NEAP, PRAL and DAL scores leads to a decrease in psychological resilience score and an increase in PSQI and HOMA-IR scores ($p<0.05$).
Conclusion: High dietary acid load is associated with high cardiometabolic risk, insulin resistance, and low psychological resilience and poor sleep quality.
Keywords: Dietary acid load, cardiometabolic risk, psychological resilience, sleep quality

Rukiye BOZBULUT PhD, Gazi University, Faculty of, Medicine, Department of Pediatric Endocrinology, Beşevler,
Ankara, Turkey
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4317-9226
dyt_rukiye@hotmail.com
+903122026033
25.03.2024
05.09.2024

Introduction
The dramatic increase in the prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents has become an important public health problem (1). Adolescent obesity is a strong predictor of adult obesity and is associated with higher cardiometabolic risk, mortality and morbidity (2). Studies show that in addition to physical diseases caused by obesity such as insulin resistance, cardiovascular diseases, metabolic syndrome, nonalcoholic liver disease, polycystic ovary syndrome, and respiratory abnormalities during sleep, children and adolescents with high body mass index tend to have psychosocial problems such as depression, anxiety and social withdrawal, poor quality of life and sleep and behavioural problems (2-4).

There is a close relationship between healthy lifestyle and well-being of individuals. Therefore, teaching activities that increase lifelong well-being is important for healthy lifestyle behaviours (5). In recent years, increasing interest in the relationship between healthy life and well-being provides an opportunity to investigate lifestyle factors related to psychological resilience (6). Psychological resilience is the ability to cope with and adapt to challenging conditions and stress (7). Despite the lack of longitudinal studies specifically designed to associate psychological resilience with health outcomes, evidence suggests that psychological resilience has a positive association with the most common health risk factors. Psychological resilience is associated with decreased risk of obesity, cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, cancer and increased life expectancy (8). Similarly, it has been reported that improving the sleep quality of children may be an effective strategy in the prevention and treatment of paediatric obesity (9).

Diet therapy is an important and modifiable environmental factor that may affect adverse health problems, psychological disorders and sleep disorders caused by obesity in adolescents (10). Psychological resilience and sleep quality may be affected by dietary factors and may be a determinant of diet quality (7,10). Although the relationship of dietary habits with some psychological or psychosocial factors has been partially investigated (11), research on diet and psychological resilience is limited (6,7,12) and there are no studies on this subject in adolescents with obesity. It was found that Mediterranean-type diet model or vegetable-based diet models, increase in dietary polyphenol or antioxidant intake and diversity in fruit and vegetable consumption were positively associated with psychological resilience and sleep quality (6,9). In another study, it was found that adults with high psychological resilience had higher diet quality and consumed more seafood, whole grain foods and fruits, less processed and starchy foods, sugary and fatty foods (13).

Diet composition may significantly affect the acid-base balance of the body (14). Studies have shown that metabolic acidosis may be associated with obesity (15,16). It has been suggested that acidic diets may increase the risk of some chronic diseases including obesity, whereas alkaline diets may provide protection from these chronic diseases (17). It has been reported that an acidogenic diet leads to the accumulation of hydrogen ions related with weight gain (18). Excessive consumption of animal foods, meat and Western-style dietary patterns leads to higher organic acid production and fatty acid oxidation, especially in individuals with obesity. Plant-based diets reduce the dietary acid load (19). In a study, it was also found that high dietary acid load was associated with the risk of depression and anxiety in individuals (20). In another study, it was observed that children with high dietary acid load at the beginning had more emotional problems and increased hyperactivity ten years later (21). It has been reported that low dietary acid load and plant-based diets may have beneficial effects on mental and sleep disorders by suppressing inflammation and reducing oxidative stress because they contain high amounts of antioxidants, phytochemicals, flavonoids, vitamins and minerals (10). However, no study has examined the effect of dietary acid load on psychological resilience and sleep quality in adolescents with obesity. To that end, the aim of our study was to investigate the relationship between dietary acid load and cardiometabolic risk factors, psychological resilience, and sleep quality in adolescents with obesity.

Methods

Study design, setting, and participants

This study was carried out on 205 adolescents with obesity (105 male, 100 female) aged 13-18 years who applied to the Pediatric Endocrinology Outpatient Clinic at Gazi University Faculty of Medicine Children's Hospital between February 2022 and May 2022. The inclusion criterion was obesity (body mass index (BMI) ≥95th percentile). Also, adolescents who did not have any chronic disease diagnosed by a doctor, did not take hormone therapy, and did not use medication were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were being overweight and having any concomitant chronic medical disease (syndromic, metabolic, neurological, etc.) except for metabolic syndrome secondary to obesity, not having clinically normal mental development, having diagnoses of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and schizophrenia and related psychotic disorders, and having mental problems that would prevent them from participating in the survey interviews or completing the scales..

Data Collection and Evaluation

Data was collected in face-to-face interviews through a questionnaire that included adolescent socio-demographics and dietary habits, the Child and Youth Resilience Measure (CYRM-12), Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), anthropometric measurements, biochemical findings, and three-day food consumption records.

Anthropometric Measurements and Body Composition Analysis

Body weight and body composition analysis were performed using a bioelectrical impedance analysis device (Javon BC 360) between 8.00-10.00 in the morning. Height was measured (cm) with feet close together and the head in Frankfort plane with a portable stadiometer with 0.1-cm. Body Mass Index was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m²). BMI-SDS was calculated according to the standards established for Turkish children (22).Waist circumference (cm) was measured from the midpoint between the lowest rib and the iliac crest. Hip circumference (cm) was measured horizontally at the largest circumference of the hip. Neck circumference (cm) was measured in the midway of the neck, between mid-cervical spine and mid-anterior neck, to within 1 mm, using non-stretchable plastic tape with the subjects standing upright Waist circumference-to-height ratio (WHtR) was computed as the ratio of waist circumference to height.

Biochemical parameters and blood pressure

The fasting blood glucose, fasting insulin, total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C), HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C), triglyceride levels of the children, which are routinely analyzed at Gazi University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatric Endocrinology, were recorded. Venous blood samples were obtained from all of the patients from the antecubital region between 8.00 and 8.30 am after an 8-12 hour overnight fast. Fasting glucose was measured with the enzymatic UV (hexokinase method) test method using the autoanalyzer AU5800 (Beckman Coulter Inc). HDL-C, LDL-C, total cholesterol, and triglyceride levels were measured with the enzymatic colorimetric method using the autoanalyzer AU5800 (Beckman Coulter Inc). Insulin levels were measured with the one step principle enzymatic immunoassay method using the autoanalyzer UniCel DxI 800 (Beckman Coulter Inc). The BP of each adolescent was measured twice following a 5-minute rest period from the right arm with a 30-second interval. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure Z scores were calculated for adolescents. The adolescent's gender, age, height and blood pressure are used for this calculation (23).

Assessment of Insulin Resistance

The "homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance" (HOMA-IR) value was calculated using the "fasting blood glucose (mmol/L) x fasting insulin (μU/mL)/22.5" formula (24). The value of HOMA-IR above 3.16 was used as a cut-off value for both genders for insulin resistance.

Identifying Psychological Resilience

The Child and Youth Resilience Scale (CYRM-12) was used to determine the psychological resilience levels of adolescents participating in the study. The 28-item original form of the scale, which was developed in the light of data collected from eleven different countries, consists of three subscales and eight sub-dimensions. The short form study of the scale was conducted by Liebenberg, Ungar, and LeBlanc (2013) and a 12-item structure was obtained as a result of two different studies (25). The factor loading values of the scale ranged between .39 and .88 and the internal consistency coefficient was found to be .84. The scale, which has a five-

point Likert scale, is graded between "strongly agree" (5) and "strongly disagree" (1). A high score indicates a high level of resilience. There are no reverse items in the scale. The total score that can be obtained is 60. The scale was adapted to Turkish and reliability and validity study was conducted by Arslan (2015) (26).

Identifying Sleep Quality

Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) was applied to determine sleep quality. PSQI is a questionnaire consisting of 19 questions evaluating the sleep of individuals during the previous month. PSQI has 7 components including sleep duration, sleep disturbance, sleep latency, daytime dysfunction due to sleepiness, sleep efficiency, overall sleep quality, and sleep medication use. The total PSQI score is the sum of the 7 components. Each item is evaluated on a 0-3 point scale and the total score varies between 0-21. High scores indicate poor sleep quality. A total PSQI score of ≤5 indicates "good" and >5 indicates "poor" sleep quality. PSQI was developed by Buysse et al. (1989) (27) and adapted to Turkish by Ağargün et al. (1996) (28).

Dietary intake

Three-day food consumption records obtained from the participants. Adolescents were trained by the researcher on how to keep food consumption records. The dietary energy and nutrients were analysed using "Nutrition Information Systems Package Program (BeBiS 7). The Food and Nutrient Photo Catalogue was used to ensure that patients correctly specified the amount of food they consumed. The daily energy, protein, fat, fiber, whole grain, refined grain, fruit, vegetable, dairies, red meat, white meat, legumes, phosphorus, calcium, potassium, sodium and magnesium intakes of adolescents were calculated from food consumption records.

Calculation of dietary acid load

PRAL, NEAP, and DAL scores were derived from nutrient intake equations. PRAL score was calculated using an algorithm described by Remer et al. (29):PRAL (mEq/d)=0.49x protein intake (g/day) + 0.037x phosphorus (mg/day) - 0.021 x potassium (mg/day) - 0.013 x calcium (mg/day) - 0.026 x magnesium (mg/day).

In addition, we estimated another measure of dietary acid load score using the algorithm described by Frassetto et al. (30):NEAP (mEq/d)=[54.5 x protein intake (g/day) + potassium intake (mEq/day)] -10.2. Higher values of the PRAL and NEAP reflect more acidic dietary intake, whereas lower values indicate more alkaline dietary intake.

DAL (mEq/ day) = [(body surface area [m^2] × 41 [mEq/ day]/ 1.73 m^2 + PRAL) (31). The Du Bois formula: [0.007184 × height$^{0.725}$ × weight$^{0.425}$] was used to calculate body surface area (32).

Statistical analysis

In the study, the assumptions required for the suitability of the data for parametric tests were examined. Compliance with normal distribution was analysed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for variables that met the normal distribution and parametric test specifications, and the Kruskal-Wallis test was used for variables that did not meet the parametric specifications. In cases where there were differences between the groups, pairwise comparisons were made using the independent Student's t-test for parametric measurements and the Mann Whitney-U test for non-parametric measurements, and the results were analysed. In addition to this descriptive information, Linear regression models were established between the independent variables and the dependent variables in order to examine the effect, direction and explanation rates of the obtained relationship. The models were named as Crude when analysing the linear regression model with only dependent and independent variables, and were calculated separately as adjusted model with personal characteristics and other variables from the measurements that may affect the relevant variables, respectively. Comments were made on the slope coefficient (β) obtained in all relevant models. Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics (version 22.0) software and p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant for all data.

Results

The mean dietary acid load indices were 5.11±7.1 mEq/d, 46.11±11.9 mEq/d and 51.07±16.8 mEq/d for PRAL, NEAP and DAL, respectively. The mean PSQI and psychological resilience scale scores were 7.94±2.7 and 37.06±9.6, respectively.

Table 1 displays the means and standard deviations for age, anthropometric measures, and biochemical parameters and blood pressure values according to quartile categories of dietary acid load indices. The cutoffs for dietary acid load indices were constructed as follows: tertiles for the PRAL index were <1.81, 1.81 to 8.91, and >8.91 mEq/d;NEAP <38.61, 38.61 to 53.41, and >53.41 mEq/d; and for DAL <40.1, 40.1 to 59.61, and >59.61 mEq/d.

Table 1 shows that BMI SDS, fat mass, fat percentage, fasting insulin, triglyceride, systolic blood pressure SDS, HOMA IR values, PSQI scores were higher and psychological resilience scores were lower in high tertiles of PRAL, NEAP and DAL compared to low tertiles (p<0.05). There is no difference was observed between tertiles in other parameters.

Tablo 1 here

Table 2 shows the effects of dietary intakes on dietary acid load. Energy intakes were found to be significantly lower in the 1st tertile of PRAL and DAL scores compared to the other tertiles. Adolescents in the lowest tertile of the three dietary acid load scores had higher intakes of whole grains, vegetables, dairies, legumes, potassium and calcium than adolescents in the highest tertile of the dietary acid load scores (p<0.05). Red meat, white meat and sodium consumption were found to be higher in adolescents in the high tertiles (p<0.05). There is no difference was observed between tertiles in other parameters.

Table 2 here

Simple linear regression models of HOMA-IR, psychological resilience and PSQI variables were established with NEAP, PRAL and DAL variables, respectively. Afterwards, these models were organised as the crude model, Model 1, by adding the specified variables respectively. In the next step, Model 2 was obtained by adding the specified variables to Model 1. A one-unit increase in NEAP score leads to an average increase of 0.09 units in HOMA-IR levels, an average increase of 0.116 units in PSQI scores, and an average decrease of 0.412 units in psychological resilience. A one-unit increase in PRAL score brings an average increase of 0.150 units in HOMA-IR levels, an average increase of 0.204 units in PSQI scores and an average decrease of 0.692 units in psychological resilience. A one-unit increase in DAL score leads to an average increase of 0.072 units in HOMA-IR levels, an average increase of 0.095 units in PSQI scores, and an average decrease of 0.332 units in psychological resilience. Linear regression model results with adjustment variables can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3 here

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study of Turkish adolescents with obesity, high dietary acid load was positively associated with anthropometric markers such as BMI, body weight, waist circumference, fat percentage and fat mass and biochemical markers such as fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, triglycerides, and systolic blood pressure. Similarly, in a study, it was reported that the acidogenic diet was associated with a higher risk of

overweight/obesity, abdominal obesity and adiposity related to body fat percentage in children and adolescents (33). In our study, it was observed that adolescents with obesity had significantly higher fat mass, higher fat percentage, and lower fat free mass in high scores of PRAL, NEAP and DAL. In addition, it was found that waist circumference, which is a marker of abdominal obesity, increase as the dietary acid load of adolescents increased. Mirzababaei et al. reported that high DAL scores were negatively related with resting metabolic rate (RMR) and directly related with waist circumference in women who are overweight and who have obesity (17). In one study, it was found that high PRAL scores were positively correlated with waist circumference and BMI in young Japanese women, while in another study, a positive correlation was observed between high NEAP scores and hip circumference, BMI, fat mass and body adiposity index (34). Sorraya et al. showed a direct correlation between neck circumference, which is an indicator of subcutaneous adipose tissue distribution, and NEAP, whereas no such correlation was observed in other anthropometric markers (33). In our study, no relationship was found between waist and hip circumferences and dietary acid load scores, whereas adolescents had significantly higher BMI levels at higher scores of dietary acid load indicators. According to the results of a meta-analysis, an increase in PRAL scores in women and NEAP scores in men is associated with higher BMI (35).Fatahi et al. found a relationship between DAL scores and BMI, whereas no significant relationship was observed between PRAL and NEAP scores, which are other acid load indicators, and BMI (36). These differences in the studies may be attributed to race and ethnicity, sample size, age range and health status of the study population, measurement of dietary acid load by different methods, differences in the evaluation of dietary intake, and differences observed in the dietary habits and lifestyles of the participants.

Several possible mechanisms have been proposed to explain the relationship between dietary acid load and obesity. Accumulation of hydrogen ions due to acidogenic diet results in body weight gain (18). Diet-induced acidosis decreases the production of adipokines such as leptin, adiponectin and resistin, which may prevent appetite suppression and provide energy balance.

Dietary acid load is associated with metabolic changes and obesity prevalence in adults (17,18) and tends to induce a chronic low-grade metabolic acidosis in children, which is associated with the formation of systemic acidosis and its metabolic consequences (37).Animal foods such as meat, fish, chicken, eggs, cheese and cereals are rich in sulphur-containing amino acids, phosphorus and chloride and are potentially acid forming, whereas fruits and vegetables are rich in malate, citrate and glutamate and are potentially base forming (14,15). Excessive consumption of animal foods except milk (which has a neutral dietary acid load since the amount of phosphorus is compensated by the amount of calcium) and Western-style dietary patterns lead to higher organic acid production and fatty acid oxidation, insulin resistance, increased blood pressure and cortisol levels and decreased insulin sensitivity, especially in individuals with obesity (17,19,35). In our study, it was observed that red and white meat and sodium consumption were significantly the highest in the percentiles with the highest dietary acid scores, whereas whole grain, legumes, calcium and potassium consumption were significantly the highest in the percentiles with the lowest dietary acid scores. Similarly, Mirzababaei et al. found that individuals with high DAL scores had higher intakes of eggs, meat, sodium, grain, fat and energy (17). In this study, fasting insulin levels of obese adolescents were significantly higher in the higher tertiles of PRAL, NEAP and DAL, and fasting insulin, triglyceride, systolic blood pressure and HOMA-IR levels were significantly higher in the higher tertiles of NEAP and DAL. In another study, it was found that children and adolescents with obesity who had insulin resistance had higher PRAL and NEAP scores than those without insulin resistance, and one unit increase in DAL scores increased insulin resistance by approximately 3% (38).Similarly in our study, a one point increase in NEAP, PRAL and DAL scores increased insulin resistance by 9, 15 and 5% respectively. In a study conducted in young Japanese women, it was found that high PRAL scores were positively associated with systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and total and LDL cholesterol levels (34).A meta-analysis reported that high PRAL scores were associated with high triglyceride scores, whereas no relationship was observed between dietary acid scores and other serum lipid parameters (35).Similar to our results, Rezazadegan et al. reported that high PRAL and NEAP scores were associated with high fasting blood glucose and high PRAL scores were associated with high triglyceride levels in children and adolescents with obesity (15). In another study, high DAL scores were found to be associated with insulin resistance but not with fasting blood glucose and HbA1c levels (39). These differences in findings may be due to differences in the study population and sample size, differences in the calculation of dietary acid load or various controlled confounders.

There are several possible mechanisms explaining the relationship between dietary acid load and cardiometabolic risk factors. Metabolic acidosis increases glucocorticoid secretion and plasma cortisol concentrations, which may induce insulin resistance (40).High consumption of fruits and vegetables leads to higher intake of carotenoids and antioxidants (hence lower DAL) and has a protective role against inflammation and oxidative stress leading to obesity and metabolic disorders (17).In addition, animal foods with high dietary acid load are proinflammatory and may increase inflammatory cytokines that are associated with lipid disorders and insulin resistance, especially in individuals with obesity (15).

It has been reported that there is a relationship between unhealthy dietary patterns and the mental health of children and adolescents and that healthy foods such as vegetables, fruits, oil seeds and whole grain products have protective effects on depressive symptoms, mental health and sleep disorders (10,21). It has also been reported that prolonged exposure to high dietary acid load causes unfavourable somatic effects (21). In a study conducted in an adult population, it was determined that adherence to a Mediterranean diet and vegetable-based dietary patterns were associated with high levels of psychological resilience and consumption of processed meat was associated with low levels of psychological resilience (6), but the effects of dietary acid load on psychological resilience have not been examined in previous studies. In this study, it was observed that psychological resilience levels of adolescents with obesity were significantly lower in the higher tertiles of dietary acid load scores. Beezhold et al. found that compliance with a vegetarian diet and less consumption of animal-based diets were associated with a better mood (41),and Daneshzad et al. found that high DAL scores in women with diabetes and high consumption of animal-based diets compared to plant-based diets increased mental health problems such as anxiety, depression and stress (10). In a study involving Australian university students, it was found that consumption of one additional portion of vegetables and fruits per day increased the psychological resilience score by 0.06 and 0.03 points, respectively, consumption of breakfast every day increased the psychological resilience score by 0.14 points compared with consumption of less than one breakfast per week, and consumption of packaged food 5-6 times a week decreased the psychological resilience scores by 0.38 points compared with consumption of less than one packaged food per week (12). In our study, a one point increase in NEAP, PRAL and DAL scores decreased psychological resilience by 41, 69, 33% respectively.

It has been reported that dietary factors play a role in the aetiology and treatment of sleep problems, low consumption of vegetables and high consumption of foods with high fat and energy density are associated with sleep disorders (42).In this study, it was found that adolescents with high dietary acid load had significantly lower sleep quality and to the best of our knowledge, there is only one study investigating dietary acid load and sleep quality, and in that this study, similar to our study, it was observed that women with diabetes who were in the highest group of PRAL and NEAP scores had worse sleep quality (10). In a study conducted on individuals aged 12-18 years, it was reported that unhealthy foods such as sugar-sweetened beverages, prepackaged products, confectionery and fast-food foods were associated with poor sleep quality (43).In our study, it was observed that dietary acid load increased and sleep quality worsened in adolescents with obesity as their energy, refined grain, meat and sodium consumption increased.

Vegetable-based diets with low dietary acid load and high amounts of antioxidants, phytochemicals, flavonoids, vitamins and minerals provide beneficial effects on mental health and sleep disorders by suppressing inflammation and reducing oxidative stress (10,20). Inflammation may trigger melancholic symptoms through the activation of inflammatory pathways in the brain (10). In addition, vegetables and fruits contain high amounts of magnesium, folate, zinc, antioxidants and flavanoids, which have been suggested to help mental disorders (10,21). Legumes and beans are high in tryptophan, the precursor of melatonin and serotonin, which

play a role in sleep patterns (44).High dietary acid load leads to an increase in the development of insulin resistance and causes a high blood pressure. Insulin resistance and high blood pressure are is associated with the deterioration of mental health and sleep quality in the general population (10). In this study, both insulin resistance and high blood pressure levels in adolescents with high dietary acid load may have contributed to the decrease in psychological resilience and sleep quality scores.

Study Limitations

This study has some limitations. The fact that a healthy control group was not included in our study could not reveal the relationship between obesity and dietary acid load. The cross-sectional nature of the study did not allow cause and effect analyses. Categorisation of dietary acid load scores into tertiles may lead to misclassification of the data. The small sample size of the study may reduce the power of statistical tests. In addition, laboratory markers of acid-base balance were not collected in this study, which is another limitation. In addition, our study has some strengths. This is the first study to examine the effect of dietary acid load on psychological resilience and sleep quality in adolescents with obesity. In most studies on dietary acid load, only one or two indicators were used; in our study, all three indicators were evaluated, leading to more accuracy and reliability in the findings. In addition, the use of three-day food consumption records instead of food consumption frequency questionnaire and the use of sleep quality and psychological resilience scales, which are validated and reliable tools, are other strengths of the study.

Conclusion

According to the results of this study, high dietary acid load is positively associated with body weight, fat mass and percentage, BMI, waist circumference, insulin resistance, fasting insulin, triglyceride, blood pressure levels, and negatively associated with psychological resilience and sleep quality. Implementation of strategies to reduce the acid load of the diet with nutritional interventions may have a positive effect on health. Adopting an adequate and balanced dietary model, as opposed to the Western-style dietary model, is important in reducing the dietary acid load. Thus, both cardiometabolic risk factors will be reduced and the psychological resilience level and sleep quality of the individual will increase. Therefore, it would be beneficial to provide nutrition education to children and adolescents with obesity about the consumption of healthy foods such as vegetables, fruits, and whole grain products instead of foods with high energy, saturated fat, sugar, and salt content and to provide them with appropriate eating habits. In addition, well-planned and long-term studies are needed to clarify the relationship between dietary acid load and cardiometabolic risk, psychological resilience and sleep quality in children and adolescents with obesity.

Ethics

REFERENCES

1. Nicolucci A, Maffeis C. The adolescent with obesity: what perspectives for treatment? Ital. J Pediatr. 2022; 48(1): 1-9.

2. Chung KH, Chiou HY, Chen YH. Psychological and physiological correlates of childhood obesity in Taiwan. Sci Rep. 2015; *5*(1): 17439.

3. Bradley RH, Houts R, Nader PR, O'Brien M, Belsky J, Crosnoe R. The relationship between body mass index and behavior in children. J. Pediatr. 2008;153: 629–634.

4. Hebebrand J, Herpertz-Dahlmann B. Psychological and psychiatric aspects of pediatric obesity. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am . 2009;18: 49–65.

5. Owen FK, Çelik ND. Lifelong Healthy Lifestyle and Wellness. Current Approaches in Psychiatry Psikiyatride Guncel Yaklasimlar, 2018;10: 440-453.

6. Bonaccio M, Di Castelnuovo A, Costanzo S, Pounis G, Persichillo M, Cerletti C, Donati MB, de Gaetano G, Iacoviello L. Mediterranean-type diet is associated with higher psychological resilience in a general adult population: findings from the Moli-sani study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2018;72:154-160.

7. Yin Z, Brasher MS, Kraus VB, Lv Y, Shi, Zeng Y. Dietary diversity was positively associated with psychological resilience among elders: a population-based study. Nutrients 2019; 11: 650.

8. Ghulam A, Bonaccio M, Costanzo S, Bracone F, Gianfagna F, de Gaetano G, Iacoviello L. Psychological resilience, cardiovascular disease, and metabolic disturbances: a systematic review. Front. Psychol. 2022;13: 817298.

9. Muscogiuri G, Barrea L, Aprano S, Framondi L, Di Matteo R, Laudisio D, Pugliese G, Savastano S, Colao A. Sleep quality in obesity: does adherence to the Mediterranean diet matter?.Nutrients. 2020; 12: 1364.

10. Daneshzad E, Mansordehghan M, Larijani B, Heshmati J, Rouzitalab T, Pizarro AB, Azadbakht L. Diet quality indices are associated with sleep and mental health status among diabetic women: a cross-sectional study. Eat Weight Disord. 2022;27:1513-1521.

11. O'neil A, Quirk SE, Housden S, Brennan SL, Williams LJ, Pasco JA, Berk M, Jacka FN. Relationship between diet and mental health in children and adolescents: a systematic review. Am J Public Health.2014;104:31-42

12.Whatnall MC, Patterson AJ, Siew YY, Kay-Lambkin F, Hutchesson MJ. Are psychological distress and resilience associated with dietary intake among Australian university students?. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019; 16: 4099.

13. Robert M, Shankland R, Bellicha A, Kesse-Guyot E, Deschasaux-Tanguy M, Andreeva V. Srour B, Hercberg S, Touvier M, Leys C, Peneau S. Associations between resilience and food intake are mediated by emotional eating in the NutriNet-Santé study. J Nutr 2022;152:1907–1915

14. Osuna-Padilla IA, Leal-Escobar G, Garza-García CA, Rodríguez-Castellanos FE. Dietary acid load: mechanisms and evidence of its health repercussions. Nefrología (English Edition) 2019; 39: 343-354.

15. Rezazadegan M, Mirzaei S, Asadi A, Akhlaghi M, Saneei,P. Association between dietary acid load and metabolic health status in overweight and obese adolescents. Sci.Rep. 2022; 12:10799.

16. Aslani Z, Bahreynian M, Namazi N, Shivappa N, Hébert JR, Asayesh,H, Motlagh ME, Pourmirzaei MA, Kasaeian A, Mahdavi-Gorabi A, et al. Association of dietary acid load with anthropometric indices in children and adolescents. Eat Weight Disord. 2021;26:555-567

17. Mirzababaei A, Shiraseb F, Setayesh L, Tavakoli A, Daneshzad E, Abaj F, Clark CCT, Mirzaei K. The association of dietary acid load with resting metabolic rate and metabolic components in overweight and obese women: A cross sectional study. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2022; 47:267-276.

18. Taylor EN, Curhan GC. Body size and 24-hour urine composition. Am J Kidney Dis. 2006;48:905-15.

19. Mohammadpour S, Ghorbaninejad P, Shahavandi M, Shab-Bidar S. Interaction of dietary acid load and general and central obesity with muscle strength and skeletal muscle mass. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2022; 48:361-369.

20. Milajerdi A, Hassanzadeh Keshteli A, Haghighatdoost F, Azadbakht L, Esmaillzadeh A, Adibi P. Dietary acid load in relation to depression and anxiety in adults. J Hum Nutr Diet.2020;33:48–55.

21. Bühlmeier J, Harris C, Koletzko S, Lehmann I, Bauer CP, Schikowski T, von Berg A, Berdel D, Heinrich, Hebebrand J, Dietary acid load and mental health outcomes in children and adolescents: results from the GINIplus and LISA birth cohort studies. Nutrients. 2018 8;10:582.

22. Neyzi O, Bundak R, Gokcay G, et al. Reference values for weight, height, head circumference, and body mass index in Turkish children. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol 2015;7:280–293

23. Flynn JT, Kaelber DC, Baker-Smith CM, Blowey D, Carroll AE, Daniels SR, et al., Clinical Practice Guideline for Screening and Management of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. Pediatrics 2017; 140: e20171904

24. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC: Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985;28:412419.

25.Liebenberg L, Ungar M. LeBlanc JC. The CYRM-12: A brief measure of resilience. Can J Public Health. 2013; 11;104:131-135.

26.Arslan G. Çocuk ve Genç Psikolojik Sağlamlık Ölçeği'nin (ÇGPSÖ) psikometrik özellikleri: Geçerlilik ve güvenirlik çalışması. Ege Eğitim Dergisi, 2015;16: 1-12.

27.Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res 1989;28:193- 213.

28.Ağargün MY, Kara H, Anlar O. Pittsburgh Uyku Kalitesi İndeksi'nin Geçerliği ve Güvenirliği. Turk Psikiyatri Derg 1996;7:107-111.

29.Remer T, Dimitriou T, Manz F. Dietary potential renal acid load and renal net acid excretion in healthy, free-living children and adolescents. Am J Clin Nutr 2003;77:1255e60.

30.Frassetto LA, Todd KM, Morris RC, Jr., Sebastian A. Estimation of net endogenous noncarbonic acid production in humans from diet potassium and protein contents. Am J Clin Nutr. 1998;68:576-583.

31.Remer T, Manz F. Potential renal acid load of foods and its influence on urine pH. J Am Diet Assoc 1995;95:791-7.

32.DuBois D. A formula to estimate the approximate surface area if height and body mass be known. Arch Intern Med 1916;17:863-71

33. Sorraya N, Arab A, Talebi S. The association between dietary acid load and adiposity measures among children and adolescents. BMC Pediatr. 2022; 13;22:484.

34.Murakami K, Sasaki S, Takahashi Y, Uenishi K. Association between dietary acid–base load and cardiometabolic risk factors in young Japanese women. Br J Nutr. 2008; 100:642-51

35. Abbasalizad Farhangi M, Nikniaz L, Nikniaz Z. Higher dietary acid load potentially increases serum triglyceride and obesity prevalence in adults: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one 2019; 14: e0216547.

36.Fatahi S, Qorbani M, Surkan PJ, Azadbakht L. Associations between dietary acid load and obesity among Iranian women. J Cardiovasc Thorac Res. 2021;13:285-297.

37.Cunha P, Paciência I, Cavaleiro Rufo J, Castro Mendes, F., Farraia, M., Barros R, Silva D, Delgado L, Padrão P, Moreira A. Dietary acid load: a novel nutritional target in overweight/obese children with asthma?. Nutrients. 2019; 11:2255.

38. Caferoglu Z, Erdal B, Hatipoglu N, Kurtoglu S. (2022). The effects of diet quality and dietary acid load on insulin resistance in overweight children and adolescents. Endocrinol Diabetes Nutr (Engl Ed). 2022;69:426-432.

39. Akter S, Eguchi M, Kuwahara K, Kochi T, Ito R, Kurotani K, Tsuruoka H, Nanri A, Kabe I, Mizoue T. Clin Nutr. 2016;35:453-459

40. Maurer M, Riesen W, Muser J, Hulter HN, Krapf R. Neutralization of Western diet inhibits bone resorption independently of K intake and reduces cortisol secretion in humans. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2003; 284:32-40.

41.Beezhold BL, Johnston CS, Daigle DR. (2010). Vegetarian diets are associated with healthy mood states: a cross-sectional study in seventh day adventist adults. Nutr J. 2010;9-26.

42.Zhao M, Tuo H, Wang S, Zhao L. The effects of dietary nutrition on sleep and sleep disorders. Mediators Inflamm. 2020;25:3142874.

43.Min C, Kim HJ, Park IS, Park B, Kim JH, Sim S, Choi HG. The association between sleep duration, sleep quality, and food consumption in adolescents: A cross-sectional study using the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey. BMJ open. 2018; 8:022848.

44.Bravo R, Matito S, Cubero J, Paredes SD, Franco L, Rivero M, Rodriguez AB, Barriga C. Tryptophan-enriched cereal intake improves nocturnal sleep, melatonin, serotonin, and total antioxidant capacity levels and mood in elderly humans. Age (Dordr). 2013;35:1277-1285.

Table 1. Anthropometric measurements, biochemical findings, psychological resilience levels and sleep quality of adolescents according to PRAL, NEAP and DAL tertiles

Variables	Total	PRAL			p	NEAP			p	DAL			p
		1st Tertile <1.81 n=66	2nd Tertile 1.81- 8.91 n=69	3rd Tertile >8.91 n=70		1st Tertile <38.61 n=68	2nd Tertile 38.61-53.41 n=69	3. Tertile >53.41 n=68		1st Tertile <40.1 n=69	2nd Tertile 40.1- 59.61 n=67	3rd Tertile >59.61 n=69	
Age (years)	14.76±1.94	14.74±1.81	14.71±1.99	14.83±2.06	0.933*	14.61±1.79	14.83±1.74	14.83±2.28	0.788*	14.67±1.75	14.69±1.82	14.91±2.25	0.775*
Sleep duration (hours)	8.18±1.31	8.18±1.09	7.94±1.28	8.42±1.53	0.267*	8.12±1.16	8.14±1.19	8.27±1.58	0.823*	8.17±1.16	8.06±1.17	8.3±1.6	0.763*
BMI SDS	2,08±0.2	1,97±0,3[a]	1,96±0,2[a]	2,23±0,5[b]	**0,002**	1,99±0,1[a]	2,11±0,3[ab]	2,18±0,5[b]	**0,029***	1,99±0,7[a]	2,06±0,2[ab]	2,14±0,3[b]	**0,021**
Hip Circumference (cm)	108.42±13.53	108.91±10.38	105.98±10.09	110.47±18.46	0.073*	107.41±10.18	108.77±10.25	109.05±18.73	0.475*	108.43±10.34	107.44±10.02	109.42±18.76	0.385*
Waist Circumference (cm)	99.42±11.27	97.35±11	98.48±9.75	102.48±12.53	0.071	97.27±11.15	99.98±11.09	100.99±11.48	0.263	97.55±11.22	99.02±11.12	101.71±11.33	0.201
waist -to-height ratio (WHtR)	0.63±0.05	0.62±0.06	0.62±0.10	0.64±0.06	0.125	0.64.0.08	0.62±0.09	0.64±0.07	0.291	0.63±0.10	0.65±0.08	0.63±0.05	0.213
Fat mass (kg)	27.08±9.1	25,82±7.9[a]	25.11±8.1[a]	30.38±10.4[b]	**0,024***	24.72±8.3[a]	26.66±8.28[a]	29.86±10.09[b]	**0.023**	25.27±8.23	26.42±8.49	29.56±10.14	0.148*
Fat Percentage (%)	34.96±7.1	34.45±6.23	33.82±7.34	36.65±7.49	0.13	33±6.74[a]	35.11±7.47[ab]	36.76±6.7[b]	**0.044***	33.58±6.72	35.22±7.2	36.06±7.3	0.236
Fat free mass (kg)	43.5±8.27	45.23±10.43[a]	44.07±9.22[a]	44.82±7.34[b]	0.231	43.66±5.13[a]	44.53±6.11[ab]	44.31±9.19[b]	0.340	44.65± 5.14[a]	44.91± 2.28[a]	43.20±4.69[b]	0.322
Fasting glucose (mg/dl)	90.82±11.98	88.62±7.83	92.01±11.37	91.77±15.46	0.408*	90.67±9.33	89.31±7.88	92.53±16.9	0.796*	89.45±8.61	90.09±9.11	92.94±16.59	0.581*
Fasting insulin (IU/ml)	24.25±16.45	19.94±11.58[a]	24.67±13.93[ab]	28.11±21.55[b]	**0.02***	19.18±11.79[a]	22.49±11,14[a]	31.15±22.11[b]	**<0,001***	18.31±11[a]	23.39±11.83[a]	31.07±22[b]	**<0.001***
LDL-C (mg/dl)	92.75±22.15	90.24±22.1	92.58±23.53	95.45±20.85	0.299*	91.82±20.51	93.49±22.32	92.92±23.94	0.934	91.18±20.78	93.48±21.75	93.57±24.2	0.843
HDL-C (mg/dl)	45.64±9.85	46.32±9.43	45.8±9.23	44.8±10.99	0.468*	47±10.79	45.24±9,1	44.7±9.69	0.489*	47.31±9.28	45.09±10.4	44.55±9.81	0.182*
Total –C (mg/dl)	162.08±32.59	159.13±26.91	160.87±36.71	166.28±33.48	0.551	157.94±27.17	161.35±34.59	166.97±35.37	0.409	158.14±24.38	160.81±36.19	167.33±35.69	0.383
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	124.6±77.53	104.98±47.83[a]	129±103.73[ab]	139.64±66.32[b]	0.026*	102.17±57.3[a]	128.56±92.1[ab]	142.92±74.5[b]	**0.012***	99.36±55.47[a]	131.96±95.12[ab]	142.17±70.84[b]	**0.004***

Variables	Total	PRAL 1st Tertile	PRAL 2nd Tertile	PRAL 3rd Tertile	P	NEAP 1st Tertile	NEAP 2nd Tertile	NEAP 3rd Tertile	P	DAL 1st Tertile	DAL 2nd Tertile	DAL 3rd Tertile	P
Systolic blood pressure SDS	1,34±0.86	1,33±0.87	1.36±0.83	1.35±0.78	0.194	1.35±0.77^a	1.37±0.89^a	1.74±0.43^b	**0.011***	1.32±0.79^a	1.34±0.91^a	1.66±0.71^b	**0.018***
Diastolic blood pressur SDS	0.89±0.71	0.89±0.44	0.93±0.46	0.96±0.80	0.245	0.93±0.74	0.97±0.90	1.03±0.63	**0.147***	0.95±0.62	0.98±0.75	1.01±0.89	**0.109***
HOMA-IR	5.46±3.74	4.39±2.63^a	5.6±3.31^{ab}	6.38±4.78^b	**0.019***	4.32±2.71^a	4.99±2.66^a	7.08±4.93^b	**<0.001***	4.05±2.48^a	5.24±2.83^{ab}	7.09±4.9^b	**<0.001***
Psychological resilience	37.06±9.59	42.52±1.04^a	37.87±1.32^a	30.76±1.28^b	**<0,001***	41.8±1.16^a	38.29±1.38^a	31.04±1.19^b	**<0,001**	43±0.87^a	38±1.43^b	30.09±1.13^c	**<0,001**
PSQI scores	7.94±2.78	6.11±0.29^a	8.27±0.40^b	9.43±3.60^b	**<0,001***	6.35±0.35^a	7.85±0.36^b	9.63±0.36^c	**<0,001**	6.06±0.31^a	8.02±0.36^b	9.74±0.36^c	**<0,001**

* Kruskal Wallis test statistic　　　　** There is no difference between groups containing the same letter.

Table 2. Daily dietary intakes of adolescents according to PRAL, NEAP and DAL tertiles

Variables	Total	PRAL				NEAP				DAL			
		1st Tertile <1.81 n=66	2nd Tertile 1.81- 8.91 n=69	3rd Tertile >8.91 n=70	P	1st Tertile <38.61 n=68	2nd Tertile 38.61-53.41 n=69	3rd Tertile >53.41 n=68	P	1st Tertile <40.1 n=69	2nd Tertile 40.1- 59.61 n=67	3rd Tertile >59.61 n=69	P
Energy (kal)	2047.55±241.62	1947.39±209.53^a	2063.81±245.29^b	2130.74±236.59^b	**<0.001**	1996.39±231.72	2077.19±254.85	2067.78±234.04	0.213	1941.61±228.97^a	2111.44±227.2^b	2086.83±237.88^b	**<0.001**
Carbohydrates (%)	48.96±4.44	48.12±4.4	49.86±4.5	48.87±4.31	0.162	49.42±4.56	49.1±4.16	48.35±4.61	0.497	48.96±4.4	49.06±4.61	48.86±4.38	0.977
Proteins (%)	16.5±4.93	17.09±4.82	15.9±4.42	16.53±5.53	0.503	16.5±4.49	16.54±4.61	16.46±5.71	0.997	16.73±4.79	16.6±4.51	16.16±5.53	0.846
Fats (%)	34.54±3.71	34.79±3.43	34.25±4.03	34.6±3.71	0.772	34.08±3.46	34.36±3.98	35.19±3.65	0.332	34.31±3.41	34.34±3.94	34.98±3.8	0.622
Fiber (g)	18.8±3.56	18.79±3.37	18.87±3.73	18.75±3.64	0.986	18.97±3.76	19.18±3.37	18.24±3.56	0.416	19.16±3.53	18.94±3.76	18.31±3.41	0.497
Whole grains (g)	56.3±18.99	64.02±22.83^a	54.66±15.85^b	50.29±15.14^b	**0.002**	62.38±22.23^a	57.81±18.08^a	48.64±13.33^b	**0.002**	64.21±23.22^a	55.94±16.05^{ab}	48.77±13.54^b	**<0.001**
Refined grains (g)	370.12±82.36	360.25±75.26	370.88±89.02	379.18±82.64	0.547	367.18±79.72	356.74±77.52	387.01±88.49	0.197	368.29±78.54^{ab}	350.18±75.31^b	392.74±88.94^a	0.042
Fruits (g)	287.57±153.47	324.46±171.66	282.91±158.55	255.54±120.68	0.094	327.55±174.14	280.29±145.64	255.18±132.33	0.071	319.25±172.35^a	303.94±153.11^{ab}	238.79±121.73^b	**0.027**
Vegetable (g)	283.81±102.3	320.05±106.75^a	282.3±100.37^{ab}	249.15±88.53^b	**0.003**	312.26±108.85^a	285.5±96.33^{ab}	253.6±94.99^b	**0.021**	336.32±101.39^a	255.06±90.49^b	261.31±96.31^b	**<0.001**
Dairies (g)	412.08±112.82	456.2±79.14^a	395.27±113.8^b	385.5±128.52^b	**0.004**	441.96±97.75^a	422.46±110.77^{ab}	371.37±119.27^b	**0.007**	453.26±77.7^a	416.42±126^{ab}	366.37±113.18^b	**<0.001**
Red meat (g)	87.07±31.26	75.33±25.58^a	86.31±31.43^a	99.61±32.11^b	**<0.001**	72.41±27.35^a	93.1±32.59^b	95.43±28.84^b	**<0.001**	69.87±22.79^a	96.04±34.44^b	94.91±28.46^b	**<0.001**
White meat (g)	67.21±31.4	49.76±23.51^a	67±29.35^b	84.87±31.01^c	**<0.001**	55.65±25.3^a	60.42±28.97^b	85.85±31.42^b	**<0.001**	51.65±23.31^a	61.44±29.3^{ab}	88.78±29.08^a	**<0.001**
Legumes (g)	23.73±15.89	30.1±15.46^a	24.43±15.94^a	16.63±13.5^b	**<0.001**	31.49±16.79^a	22.65±14.52^b	17.09±13.04^b	**<0.001**	32.59±16.17^a	21.64±13.98^b	17.05±13.55^b	**<0.001**
Phosphorus (mg)	983.36±177.92	976.15±182.85	997.71±174.12	975.6±179.86	0.791	1004.19±203.39	997.75±160.23	947.52±166.2	0.247	1002.54±202.27	1006.86±167.02	939.67±157.54	0.126
Potassium (mg)	3457.9±529.39	3647.3±523.29^a	3507.27±507.52^a	3216.98±473.51^b	**<0.001**	3655.89±469.63^a	3542.71±460.28^a	3171.41±540.55^b	**<0.001**	3723.26±456.62^a	3478.83±482.74^b	3170.7±506.96^c	**<0.001**
Calcium (mg)	977.87±164.8	1044.75±194.39^b	955.33±140.68^a	935.38±135.94^a	**0.003**	1037.72±158.83^b	972.52±160.23^{ab}	923.6±158.58^a	**0.003**	1040.05±161.51^b	951.9±170.36^a	942.79±146.85^a	**0.007**

Magnesium (mg)	329.81±67.56	335.59±66.99	334.06±70.66	319.61±65.09	0.458	332.23±69.34	327.33±68	329.78±66.63	0.941	341.67±68.39	332.15±66.22	315.11±66.73	0.157
Sodium (mg)	4.46±0.7	4.22±0.66[a]	4.41±0.7[a]	4.76±0.64[b]	**<0.001**	4.22±0.67[a]	4.41±0.7[a]	4.77±0.63[b]	**<0.001**	4.12±0.58[a]	4.51±0.74[b]	4.76±0.61[b]	**<0.001**

*Tested with ANOVA test.

** There is no difference between groups containing the same letter

Table 3-Linear models of dietary acid loads with risk of insulin resistance, psychological resilience and sleep quality

Variables		**HOMA-IR**				**PSYCHOLOGICAL RESILIENCE**				**PSQI scores**			
		Beta	%95 CI (LB;UB)	p*	R^2	Beta	%95 CI	p*	R^2	Beta	%95 CI	p*	R^2
NEAP	Crude Model	0.090	(0.04;0.141)	0.001	0.083	-0.412	(-0.528;-0.296)	0.000	0.262	0.116	(0.082;0.15)	0.000	0.249
	Model1	0.091	(0.038;0.143)	0.001	0.103	-0.376	(-0.494;-0.257)	0.000	0.105	0.099	(0.066;0.133)	0.000	0.129
	Model2	0.058	(0.005;0.111)	0.031	0.146	-0.299	(-0.419;-0.18)	0.000	0.147	0.081	(0.047;0.115)	0.000	0.156
PRAL	Crude Model	0.150	(0.065;0.235)	0.001	0.081	-0.692	(-0.888;-0.496)	0.000	0.261	0.204	(0.148;0.261)	0.000	0.271
	Model1	0.159	(0.067;0.25)	0.001	0.308	-0.624	(-0.831;-0.416)	0.000	0.291	0.171	(0.113;0.229)	0.000	0.365
	Model2	0.100	(0.012;0.188)	0.027	0.359	-0.545	(-0.743;-0.348)	0.000	0.346	0.143	(0.086;0.2)	0.000	0.380
DAL	Crude Model	0.072	(0.037;0.108)	0.000	0.106	-0.332	(-0.41;-0.254)	0.000	0.338	0.095	(0.072;0.118)	0.000	0.330
	Model1	0.075	(0.038;0.113)	0.000	0.343	-0.308	(-0.391;-0.226)	0.000	0.342	0.082	(0.059;0.105)	0.000	0.394
	Model2	0.049	(0.011;0.087)	0.012	0.390	-0.272	(-0.354;-0.189)	0.000	0.390	0.070	(0.046;0.094)	0.000	0.419

* Calculated by linear regression.

☐ Crude Model: Simple linear regression.

☐ Model 1: All models are adjusted for age, BMI, gender, physical activity, sleep duration

☐ Model 2: All models are adjusted for age, BMI, gender, physical activity, sleep duration, energy, carbohydrates, proteins, fats, fiber, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, sodium